RAIN RFID
Reader Sensitivity Testing

RAIN RFID

V 1.0 October 2016

Introduction

A RAIN RFID system consists of items, tags, readers, software, and a network. Deployments have many moving parts but the technology, standards, and business eco-system have all evolved to such a level of maturity that successful deployments are routine around the world.

While there may be many components, the end-user is only really interested in the behavior of the system as a whole. This is what matters and its success can be measured by the two essential requirements; inventory accuracy and inventory speed.

Many factors impact the system performance of a RAIN RFID deployment. In this note we will focus exclusively on the tag-reader interaction; namely the RF performance. While other components are important they will not be discussed here. The illustration below indicates the scope of this work and lists some of the issues that might impact the RF link.



In this white paper we narrow our attention further to the issue of reader sensitivity. We outline a proposal for testing RAIN RFID readers and a means of reporting reader sensitivity in a consistent and replicable manner.

© RAIN RFID 2016

Goals for this Work

While tag testing plays a vital and essential role in RAIN RFID deployment it does not, in itself, give a guarantee of success. The same will apply for any proposal for reader testing. The goal of this work is to provide more information to the system integrator, but guidance will still be necessary for an appropriate interpretation of this data. Not all readers are intended to be used in the same way and so data without commentary is unlikely to capture the full story.

Looking forward, one might envisage a classification of readers according to their performance, features, and/or their intended application. This is something that could make purchasing and deployment even easier than it is today. It seems inevitable that reader sensitivity will be one of the factors in such a classification and we start with this issue.

It should be noted that recording a single value for reader sensitivity could be an over-simplification. To avoid this, reader manufacturers are encouraged to report additional sensitivity figures if they feel a single figure is not entirely representative. In addition, future iterations of this work will give the opportunity to refine or extend this testing proposal and this will likely lead to a more complete classification of RAIN RFID readers.

RAIN RFID Reader Sensitivity

Today few reader manufacturers report reader sensitivity. And among those that do, it is doubtful that there is a consistent way of measuring that figure. Our goal therefore is to outline a simple, repeatable, and verifiable test that can be applied consistently to all readers.

While testing should be simple, RAIN RFID reader manufacturers will design their readers for different use-cases. The reader's sensitivity will be a result of design choices in the receiver electronics and signal interpretation software. Signal amplification, filtering and other functionality will all determine the receiver sensitivity.

It is worth noting that reader sensitivity does not depend on the RF environment. For example, it does not change, if the number of RAIN RFID tags or readers changes, or if there is additional RF interference. The RF environment will affect the system performance, but will do so via signal quality and propagation effects, not by changing receiver sensitivity.

The goal of this work is to provide more information to the system integrator

It might be observed that the efficiency of almost all electronics components used in RF systems depends on the frequency. Receiver sensitivity will be no different. However, in practice, this dependency can be set aside since RFID frequency bands within a region are relatively narrow relative to component specifications.

© RAIN RFID 2016

For some RAIN RFID readers, a design goal has been to minimize their physical size. As a result, the smallest components are used and these components are packed close to each other. In some cases, this leads to cross-talk where the receiver picks up the signal from the transmitter. For a receiver cross-talk is noise that prevents optimal functionality. Such noise is created in the reader and is very different to that created by the environment. The higher the transmit power, the higher the noise, and the lower the reader sensitivity. Although this might not be the case for all readers, there can be a severe impact on other readers and the testing scheme should provide sufficient flexibility on this issue.

The EPCglobal Gen2v2[1] standard describes several parameters related to communication between a reader and a tag. A reader may be optimized for a certain parameter combination and have lower sensitivity with some other parameters. Some parameters, in particular tag parameters, include tolerances. For example, the BLF frequency tolerances are described in Table 6.9 of the Gen2v2 standard. Reader sensitivity at the extremes of the allowed tolerances may be different from reader sensitivity at the nominal BLF frequency. Such values shall be taken into consideration during system design by proper product selection.

The reader's sensitivity will be a result of design choices in the receiver

One final issue worth highlighting is the statistical nature of RFID operation. A reader might correctly interpret 1% of the messages from a tag when the reader receives a signal of strength, say, -75 dBm. The same reader might correctly interpret 99% of messages when the signal strength is -67 dBm. However, during continuous inventory, a reader will transmit the inventory command over 100 times per second; indeed, such re-trying is an essential feature of RAIN RFID. So for many applications the net success rate for tag reading can be very high even if, as for the first case, a large proportion of tag-to-reader messages will fail.

RAIN RFID Reader Testing

The following schematic provides an overview of the anticipated signal power levels during a reader-tag-reader interaction. The forward and reverse path loss will be identical for our purposes.

[1] Current version: EPC™ Radio-Frequency Identity Protocols, Generation-2 UHF RFID, Specification for RFID Air Interface, Protocol for Communications at 860 MHz – 960 MHz, Version 2.0.1 Ratified. See: www.gs1.org/epcrfid/epc-rfid-uhf-air-interface-protocol/2-0-1 or www.gs1.org/sites/default/files/docs/epc/Gen2_Protocol_Standard.pdf.
This standard is also published as part of ISO/IEC 18000-63.



1. During a reader sensitivity test a tag emulator or reference tag shall be used. However, the tag emulator is more versatile and can be controlled to provide both typical Gen2v2 performance characteristics as well as a wide degree of worst-case parameter variations. Both the tag emulator characteristics and any reference tag shall be compliant with Gen2v2 as far as required for the testing. The actions and characteristics of T shall be documented so that any reader sensitivity measurement can be independently validated.
   While a single test result has some value, a matrix of tests might use difference reference settings, reader configurations, and Gen2v2 parameter values. Both contact and contactless tests are possible, but it should be noted that the goal of reader testing is to provide a measure of the reader sensitivity that would be witnessed in a typical use-case scenario.

2. For reader testing the reader manufacturer shall choose and record values of Tari, BLF, M, TRext, DR, RTcal, and TRcal. Note that some values are inter-related. The reader manufacturer shall also choose the output power, an operating frequency, and any other relevant settings for the reader. All these values shall be fully documented and chosen from the range of values allowed by the EPCglobal Gen2v2 specifications while complying with all local regulatory restrictions.

© RAIN RFID 2016

3. The received power that is available to the reader is varied as part of the testing process. For example, an attenuator or variable backscatter could be used to simulate the path loss during an interaction, allowing the tester to vary the power received at the reader even though the transmit power is fixed.

4. A single test consists of the sequence of actions a) through c) that are described below. If steps a) to c) are completed successfully then the test is passed. The receiver sensitivity is specified to be the smallest signal strength (measured in dBm) for which the reader successfully passes 90% of a minimum of 100 consecutive tests.
    a) Correctly interpret a returned RN16 (The tag response to a Query)
    b) Send an ACK command (Acknowledges a specific tag)
    c) Receive a correct 96-bit EPC including the accompanying CRC.

    To facilitate reader testing, the tag emulator may choose to always use the first slot but is not required to do so.
    The RN16s shall vary and be chosen at random.
    The 96-bit EPC in c) shall take the form 0x00FF33CC55AA77889966BB44.
    The received power is specified as the sum of the power of both side bands which would be detected by a receiver with carrier filtered.

5. For a contact test, the emitted power and measurements of the received power are specified at the input/output of the reader.

6. For a contactless test the emitted power is specified as an EIRP value which is equivalent to using a unity-gain antenna (0 dB) positioned at the plane of the reader receiver's (integrated) antenna. The received power is measured at the same plane. Additional tests can be conducted on other reader antenna combinations as appropriate.

The reader manufacturer may report measured values for the reader sensitivity for a variety of parameter sets, provided all operational parameters are fully specified (see 2 above) and the measurements can be reliably and independently replicated based on these parameters.

It shall be confirmed that the reader always checks for the correctness of the CRC in step 4 c) above. This confirmation may be provided by a reader manufacturer statement. This confirmation may be provided by detecting a different reader reaction when an EPC with correct and an EPC with incorrect CRC are presented to the reader. Note that if, during a test, the reader reports as received any EPC that has not been issued by the test equipment then that test is failed.

The reader manufacturer must state whether the reader always checks for the correctness of the CRC in step 4 c) above. For those interested in testing this, it would be sufficient to detect a different reader reaction when correct and incorrect EPC/CRC combinations are presented.

Conclusion

RAIN RFID reader manufacturers are encouraged to provide data on the reader's receiver sensitivity. This would then become available to the RAIN community. This data should consist of at least one measurement of reader sensitivity, where the measurement is provided under documented conditions so that it can be replicated. Optionally, the reader manufacturer may provide further details, additional background information, or any other relevant data.

Depending on feedback from the community, some refinements and/or narrowing of the specification of the testing procedure may be introduced in future versions. It might also be interesting to expand a single data value for reader sensitivity into multiple values. While some simplicity would be lost, it would allow reader sensitivity to be profiled across a range of parameter values.

Further work in RAIN might move towards the idea of grading or classifying readers so that the end-user will have some insight into which readers are best-suited for which roles. However, this would be additional work beyond this first step of establishing a means of reporting reader sensitivity.

Background and Contributors

The procedure for RAIN RFID Reader Sensitivity Testing specified in this document was developed within the RAIN Solutions Workgroup. Frequent updated drafts were available for comment to the entire Workgroup and contributors from the following RAIN Alliance members either played a major role in shaping the final document or provided feedback to earlier versions:

CISC Semiconductor, GmbH

Embisphere

Impinj, Inc.

Lyngsoe Systems, Inc.

NXP Semiconductors Austria, GmbH

RR Donnelley

Voyantic Ltd.

Zebra Technologies Corp.

RAIN RFID reader manufacturers are encouraged to provide data on the reader's receiver sensitivity

For any feedback or questions about this document please contact rain-reader-testing@rainrfid.org.

About RAIN RFID Alliance

The RAIN RFID Alliance is an organization supporting the universal adoption of RAIN technology, a wireless technology that connects billions of everyday items to the internet, enabling businesses and consumers to identify, locate, authenticate and engage each item. The technology is based on the EPC Gen2 UHF RFID specification, incorporated into the ISO/IEC 18000-63 standard. For more information, visit www.RAINRFID.org. The RAIN Alliance is part of AIM Global. AIM has served as the association and worldwide authority on automatic identification, data collection and networking in a mobile environment for over 40 years. For more information, visit www.aimglobal.org.

RAIN RFID

One Landmark North
20399 Rte 19
Cranberry Twp., PA 16066

If you're interested in having your company become a member of RAIN or have any questions about the RAIN alliance, please send RAIN an e-mail: info@rainrfid.org